## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., individually and on behalf of NORFOLK & PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE RAILROAD COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-530-MSD-LRL

NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

The matter comes before the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5 and Plaintiff CSX Transportation, Inc.'s ("CSXT") Motion to Seal its unredacted Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Reopen and Compel Depositions of Defendant Norfolk Southern Railway Company ("NSR"), and certain exhibits thereto. CSXT has represented that these documents contain and/or reference information that NSR has indicated is "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only" under the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this matter. ECF No. 79.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: "(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives." Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc., 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (citations omitted).

In compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(C) and Ashcraft, CSXT provided public notice of this Motion by filing an appropriate Notice with the Court. In support of sealing, CSXT argued

that its unredacted Memorandum in Support of Motion to Reopen and Compel Depositions, and

Exhibits J, K, L, M, and N thereto (collectively, the "Exhibits"), contain highly confidential and

sensitive information relating to NSR's transportation and shipping practices, and other highly

confidential, proprietary, and sensitive business information, release of which would harm NSR.

There are no less drastic alternatives to sealing because the documents are redacted such that only

information designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential - Attorneys Eyes Only" has been

redacted. CSXT also filed a redacted version of its Memorandum in Support of Motion to Reopen

and Compel Depositions. Given the nature of the confidential information contained therein,

redaction of the Exhibits is impractical.

Based on the foregoing, the Court FINDS that the information at issue constitutes

confidential and proprietary information, and that less drastic alternatives to scaling CSXT's

unredacted Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Reopen and Compel Depositions and

unredacted exhibits thereto are not feasible.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS CSXT's Motion to Seal and ORDERS that CSXT's

unredacted Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Reopen and Compel Depositions and the

unredacted Exhibits shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk pending further order of the Court.

The Clerk is REQUESTED to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8-14-2000 at Norfolk, Virginia

Honorable Lawrence R. Leonard

United States Magistrate Judge

2